Impact of hydrological conditions on the isotopic composition of the Sava River in the area of the Zagreb aquifer

Kovač, Zoran; Barešić, Jadranka; Parlov, Jelena; Sironić, Andreja

water

MDPI

Article

Impact of Hydrological Conditions on the Isotopic Composition of the Sava River in the Area of the Zagreb Aquifer

Zoran Kovač [1,*], Jadranka Barešić [2], Jelena Parlov [1] and Andreja Sironić [2]

[1] Faculty of Mining, Geology and Petroleum Engineering, University of Zagreb, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia; jelena.parlov@rgn.unizg.hr
[2] Ruđer Bošković Institute, Bijenička 54, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia; jbaresic@irb.hr (J.B.); andreja.sironic@irb.hr (A.S.)
* Correspondence: zoran.kovac@rgn.unizg.hr

Abstract: The Zagreb aquifer is the main source of potable water for the inhabitants of the City of Zagreb and Zagreb County. It presents a strategic water reserve protected by the Republic of Croatia. All previous studies related to the definition of the groundwater–surface interaction in the study area have been made based on the isotopic composition of the Sava River from the location of the Domovinski Most bridge, which is located downstream of most pumping well fields. In 2019, a new monitoring station was established at the Podsusedski Most bridge, at the entrance of the Sava River into the Zagreb aquifer, approximately 23 km upstream of the Domovinski Most bridge. Within this research, water isotope data (δ^2H, $\delta^{18}O$, deuterium excess) from both Sava River and groundwater sites were used along with hydrologic data to examine the extent to which hydrologic conditions affect the isotope signature and whether the interaction between groundwater and the Sava River causes a change in the isotopic composition of the Sava River. In addition, $\delta^{18}O$ amplitudes were estimated for different time periods, as well as the mean residence time for the hydrological year 2019/2020. For that purpose, different statistical methods were applied to the new monthly data for six years for the Domovinski Most bridge and two years for the Podsusedski Most bridge. The $\delta^{18}O$ amplitudes vary from 0.22 to 1.86 depending on the time interval and hydrological conditions, while the mean residence time for the hydrological year 2019/2020 was estimated to be about 2.5 months.

Keywords: Sava River; Zagreb aquifer; isotopic composition; hydrologic conditions

Citation: Kovač, Z.; Barešić, J.; Parlov, J.; Sironić, A. Impact of Hydrological Conditions on the Isotopic Composition of the Sava River in the Area of the Zagreb Aquifer. *Water* **2022**, *14*, 2263. https://doi.org/10.3390/w14142263

Academic Editor: Maurizio Barbieri

Received: 19 June 2022
Accepted: 18 July 2022
Published: 20 July 2022

1. Introduction

Stable isotopes of hydrogen and oxygen from water are often used as a tracer for different hydrological processes. In the last decades they have been increasingly used due to advances in measurement techniques. They have become a very important tool in numerous hydrological research aims, including: the estimation of mean residence time (MRT) and groundwater dynamics [1–5], groundwater–surface water interaction [6,7], evaluation of surface water dynamics [8–11], evaluation of precipitation patterns [12–17], exploration of unsaturated zone water dynamics [18–20], and evaluation of other processes, primarily evaporation [2,21–23]. In general, unconfined alluvial aquifers, which have connections with rivers, are dependent on the surface water fluctuations. It has been shown that many alluvial aquifers have a problem with groundwater depletion [24], while recently, that problem has been much more pronounced in the moderate climate areas, generally due to the impact of climate change [25,26]. Furthermore, it is well known that the relationship between groundwater and surface water can be one-way (gaining or losing stream), but it can also be very dynamic, depending mostly on the hydrological conditions, which can have big influence on changes in groundwater flow velocities and groundwater flow directions. These rapid changes make sometimes relevant hydrological relationships very hard to define. This highlights the necessity of studying the isotopic composition of the

main recharge source in much more detail, especially if hydrologic relationships need to be explored in a local scale.

The Zagreb aquifer is designated as a part of a strategic water reserve, protected by the Republic of Croatia, which represents the main source of potable water for the citizens of the City of Zagreb and part of Zagreb County. In recent years, in the wider area of the City of Zagreb, numerous research projects have been performed, primarily isotopic, mostly focused on the following: the evaluation of nitrates' origin in the Zagreb aquifer [27], the influence of the Sava River temperature on the groundwater temperature [28], groundwater–surface water interaction between the Sava River and the Zagreb aquifer [6], the estimation of groundwater velocities [29], the evolution of Zagreb's Local Meteoric Water Line (LMWL), and changes in meteorological variables [16], as well as the examination of hillslope soil hydrology [30]. It has been shown that soil type can have big influence on precipitation infiltration [6], but also that a constant increase in mean annual air temperature is evident. Furthermore, in the last decades, annual precipitation amounts have varied much more than before [16]. There has also been research related to the evaluation of the Sava River water isotopic composition. In 2010, the Sava River isotopic signature was measured in Zagreb, near the Petruševec well field (Domovinski Most bridge), on a weekly time interval [31], as well as in 2016 at the same location, but on a monthly interval [6,32]. All this research showed that the Sava River was isotopically more like the precipitation which falls in Ljubljana, rather than in Zagreb, and that it has a very similar isotopic composition to the groundwater of the Zagreb aquifer. In the Sava River basin, recent research was related to the estimation of the spatial distribution of $\delta^{18}O$ in precipitation, as well as to the distribution of oxygen and hydrogen stable isotopes and estimation of MRTs in Sava in Slovenia, upstream from the Zagreb aquifer, and in Serbia, in the area where the Sava River enters the Danube River [9]. Although it has been found that the main boundary condition related to the recharge of the Zagreb aquifer is the Sava River, which generates recharge from 67.5% up to 83.74% depending on the area which is evaluated [6], no research was focused on the evaluation of the Sava River isotopic pattern at the entrance of the Zagreb aquifer, i.e., the inflow area, and its isotopic difference with respect to the exit of the aquifer, i.e., the outflow area. The latest hydrogeological research confirmed that the hydraulic connection between the Sava River and groundwater is complicated and not uniform [33].

It has been proven that the Sava River is the main source of water for the Zagreb aquifer and influences the isotopic composition of the groundwater, but at the same time it can be assumed that the groundwater also influences the isotopic composition of the river, depending on the hydrological conditions. Despite numerous research efforts in the study area, the isotopic signature of the Sava River and its dependence on hydrologic conditions has not yet been studied in detail.

This research is focused on the evaluation of the isotopic composition of the Sava River at the entrance of the Zagreb aquifer, for which a new monitoring point has been established, as well as to its downstream part which can be approximated as the exit from the Zagreb aquifer. Furthermore, the main goals of this research are related to the calculation of the amplitudes of $\delta^{18}O$ in the Sava River in different time intervals and different hydrological conditions, the comparison between groundwater and the Sava River isotopic signature, as well as to the estimation of the mean residence time between the two observed points in the Sava River.

2. Research Area

The Zagreb aquifer is the main source of drinking water for a quarter of the population of the Republic of Croatia. Due to its importance, it is protected by the Croatian state and designated as a strategic water reserve. It is located in the northwestern part of the Republic of Croatia and has six main well fields (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Research area.

It consists of Quaternary sediments deposited in Middle and Upper Pleistocene and Holocene. The older sediments are mainly lacustrine and marshy deposits, while the Holocene deposits are alluvial. In general, the Pleistocene deposits are siliciclastic, while the Holocene are alluvial, which is due to the transportation of material from the Alps at the beginning of the Holocene, when the Sava River began to flow [34]. In the Holocene, gravels and sands dominate, while in the Pleistocene sediments, a frequent alternation of sands, gravels, silts and clays is observed [35].

From the hydrogeological point of view, the Zagreb aquifer is divided into the unsaturated part of the Zagreb aquifer, the shallow Holocene aquifer and the deeper Pleistocene aquifer.

The thickness of the unsaturated zone varies depending on hydrological conditions. Previous studies have shown that the thickness of the unsaturated zone varies between 2 and 13 m [6,36]. In addition, various soil types are found in the Zagreb aquifer area, with two main types dominating: Fluvisols and Eutric Cambisols on Holocene deposits [37,38]. Unfortunately, the unsaturated zone in a large part of the Zagreb aquifer is decomposed by human influence, especially on the left bank of the Sava River, where urban areas predominate.

The shallow Holocene aquifer is in direct contact with the Sava River, where the groundwater flow direction ranges from W/NW to E/SE. Previous studies have shown that the Sava River is the main recharge source for the Zagreb aquifer and that the influence of the Sava River is more pronounced in its vicinity [6,39]. It has also been shown that, in some areas, the Sava River drains the Zagreb aquifer at low and medium water levels, while it releases water to the aquifer at high water levels [6]. The hydraulic boundaries

of the Zagreb aquifer were determined based on the study of equipotential maps under different hydrological conditions [40]. A no-flow boundary was established in the north of the Zagreb aquifer, an inflow boundary in the south and west, and an outflow boundary in the east. Hydraulic conductivities range up to 3000 m/day, while the thickness of the shallow aquifer layer varies between 5 and 40 m [41,42]. Moreover, aerobic conditions prevail in most parts of the aquifer [43].

The deeper, Pleistocene aquifer layer has a thickness of up to 60 m in the eastern part, which means that the maximum thickness of the entire system is about 100 m [41]. Although the deeper aquifer layer is hydraulically connected to the shallower aquifer, geochemical stratification can be seen along the depth. Higher sodium concentrations were found in the deeper aquifer, probably due to lower groundwater velocities and longer residence times, and hydrogeochemical CaMgNa-HCO_3 facies formed compared to the hydrogeochemical CaMg-HCO_3 facies found in the shallow aquifer layer [44].

Various problems related to groundwater quality and quantity were observed. Previous studies identified several main groups of contaminants [42], while more recent studies focused on determining the origin and trend of nitrate [27,45] and the risk of nitrate contamination [46]. In terms of groundwater quantity, it was found that current groundwater levels in the Zagreb aquifer are generally about 3 to 6 m lower than historical groundwater levels observed in the 1960s [47]. There are numerous possible reasons for the lowering of groundwater levels, ranging from occasional flooding to the presence of embankments built along the Sava River that stopped a certain percentage of infiltration, to gravel extraction from the Sava River and excessive pumping of potable water. However, the most important reason is probably the extensive erosion of the riverbed caused by the regulation of the Sava upstream. In addition, climate change probably also contributes negatively to this problem. In recent decades, a steady increase in annual mean temperature and larger fluctuations in precipitation have been observed [16]. All this requires a detailed characterization of all variables related to the recharge component of the Zagreb aquifer if sustainable groundwater management is to be achieved.

3. Materials and Methods

Within this research, different hydrogeochemical data, as well as different statistical methods, have been used to evaluate the behavior of the Sava River in the inflow and outflow area of the Zagreb aquifer. Although the focus was on the evaluation of the water isotope signature (δ^2H, $\delta^{18}O$, deuterium excess), in-situ chemical parameters and river water levels have been also observed.

For that purpose, previously published data as well as new data was used. Part of the groundwater and Sava River (Domovinski Most bridge—DM) isotope data was presented in Kovač et al. [27] and Parlov et al. [6], mainly related to the period from November 2015 till January 2017. All other data presents new, unpublished data (δ^2H, $\delta^{18}O$, water temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen, and electrical conductivity). For the Domovinski Most bridge, located downstream (Figure 1), data used within this research is from January 2015 till March 2021 (in total 65 sampling campaigns). The data series end in March because in April 2019 it was decided that a new monitoring point of the Sava River would be established, in the inflow area of the Zagreb aquifer (Podsusedski Most bridge—PM). For the new monitoring point, the first two years of data is presented and used for the interpretation (in total 24 sampling campaigns). It must be emphasized that between these two monitoring points, the weir of the Zagreb cogeneration plant TE-TO is situated. Previous research showed that TE-TO Zagreb has strong influence on the dynamic interaction between groundwater and surface water [47], and consequently on groundwater flow velocities and directions.

All data has been evaluated in six main steps (Figure 2). In the first step, basic statistical parameters (average, median, minimum, maximum, and standard deviation) related to the in-situ measurements have been observed (measured with WTW multi parameter 3630 IDS). In the second step, Sava water level frequency and duration curves

were examined for the hydrologic station Podsused-Žičara which is located very close to the Podsusedski Most bridge. Within this step, frequency and duration curves from four hydrologic years (2016/2017, 2017/2018, 2018/2019, and 2019/2020) and for the long-term period (10/2000–9/2020) have been evaluated. Data for the Sava River water levels was provided by Croatian Meteorological and Hydrological Service. In the third step, water isotope data (δ^2H, $\delta^{18}O$, deuterium excess) for both monitoring points at the Sava River, as well as for groundwater from previous studies, are presented through basic statistic parameters and bivariate plot. In the fourth step, boxplots have been created for water isotope data, after which extremes and outliers were firstly excluded from further analysis. It was assumed that the ejection of outliers and extreme values will generate more reliable results, i.e., better fit of seasonal sine wave curves. However, in the end, we decided to calculate amplitudes with and without outliers and extreme values. In the fifth step, data related to the Sava River and groundwater was interpretated quantitatively using periodic regression analysis to fit seasonal sine wave curves to annual $\delta^{18}O$ variations as [9,48,49]:

$$\delta^{18}O = \delta^{18}O_{ave} + A \cdot [\cos(c \cdot t - \theta)] \quad (1)$$

where $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{18}O_{ave}$ are the modeled and the mean annual measured values, A is the fitted $\delta^{18}O$ annual amplitude, c is the radial frequency of annual fluctuations (0.017247 rad day^{-1}), t is the time in days after the start of the sampling period and θ is the phase lag or time of the annual peak $\delta^{18}O$ in rad (also fitted variable). This step was performed by changing the values of two fitting variables (A and θ) to minimize the squared residuals, i.e., the difference between modeled and measured values. This was achieved using GRG nonlinear solving method, while statistical significance ($\alpha = 0.05$) was tested using regression analysis to evaluate the robustness of the used model. Amplitudes for the Sava River were calculated in all hydrological years (always starting from October 1st) which had at least 10 data values, while amplitude for groundwater was calculated based on the average values from hydrologic year which started in November 2015 and finished October 2016 (data from October 2015 do not exist). Furthermore, for the Sava River, amplitudes were calculated based on the data from whole sampling period (Domovinski Most bridge 1/2015–3/2021; Podsusedski Most bridge 4/2019–3/2021).



Figure 2. Analyses flowchart.

Estimation of the mean residence time (MRT) between the Sava River at the entrance (Podsusedski Most bridge) and exit (Domovinski Most bridge) of the Zagreb aquifer was performed in the sixth step. For the calculation of the MRT, a commonly used exponential model was used [9,48–50]:

$$MRT = c^{-1} \times [(A_{DM}/A_{PM})^{-2} - 1]^{0.5} \quad (2)$$

where A_{PM} is the fitted amplitude in the area of the PM bridge, A_{DM} is the fitted amplitude in the area of the DM bridge, while c is the radial frequency of the annual fluctuation as presented in Equation (1). Due to data availability, MRT was calculated only for hydrological year 2019/2020.

Stable isotope composition (δ^2H and $\delta^{18}O$ for the Sava River and groundwater) was determined at the Laboratory for Spectroscopy of the Faculty of Mining, Geology, and

Petroleum Engineering, University of Zagreb, with a Liquid Water Isotope Analyzer (LWIA-45-EP, Los Gatos Research). Data were analyzed by the Laboratory Information Management System (LIMS for lasers 2015; [51]). The measurement precision of duplicates was ±0.19‰ for $\delta^{18}O$ and ±0.9‰ for $\delta^{2}H$, while all results are presented related to VSMOW (Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water).

Boxplots were made in Tibco Statistica 14.0.0.15, while all other statistical analysis were performed in Microsoft Excel. Figure 1 was constructed using ArcMap 10.8.1., while georeferenced orthophoto background was obtained from the geoportal of the Croatian Geodetic Administration (map is presented using the official coordinate system of the Republic of Croatia—HTRS96/TM).

4. Results and Discussion

In Table 1, basic statistical values of in-situ parameters of the Sava River at the two monitoring points are presented. Although the calculated values are similar, some differences can be seen. The temperature of the Sava River is slightly lower at the PM bridge, as well as values of electrical conductivity. Additionally, the standard deviation of all observed parameters is higher at the DM bridge. Higher values of temperature and electrical conductivity are expected downstream due to fact that Sava River flows through the urban part of the City of Zagreb. Furthermore, the average and median values of dissolved oxygen are lower at the DM bridge, although not significantly, which nonetheless indicates slightly higher mixing with groundwater. Previous research has shown that in the downstream part (i.e., eastern part), the Zagreb aquifer deepens, and dissolved oxygen concentrations are lower [42,43].

Table 1. Basic statistical values of in-situ parameters of the Sava River.

Bridge PM				
Parameter	**Temp. (°C)**	**pH**	**O_2 (mg/L)**	**EC (µS/cm)**
Average	13.95	8.25	10.99	397.96
Median	12.10	8.23	11.31	406.50
Minimum	5.80	7.97	7.73	301.00
Maximum	23.20	8.58	13.43	457.00
Standard deviation	5.74	0.18	1.50	39.92
N (number of sampling campaigns)	24	24	24	24
Bridge DM				
Parameter	**Temp. (°C)**	**pH**	**O_2 (mg/L)**	**EC (µS/cm)**
Average	14.40	8.32	10.30	447.70
Median	12.80	8.36	10.33	417.00
Minimum	4.00	7.90	6.28	282.00
Maximum	27.60	8.88	15.90	1027.00
Standard deviation	6.08	0.22	1.65	139.13
N (number of sampling campaigns)	65	64	62	61

Figure 3 shows the flow duration curve for the long-term data (2000 to 2020) and the last four subsequent hydrologic years. It is immediately apparent that the larger flows (greater than 5% of the year) exhibit quite a bit of variability compared to the long-term data curve. The mid flows (between 5% and 65% of the year) are lower in every year except 2017/2018, while lower flows (greater than 65% of the year) have been above the long-term average in all four years. Moreover, the hydrological year 2017/2018 represents an extremely wet year with a very high duration of almost all water levels of the Sava River. Figure 4 shows the frequency of water levels of the Sava River for four hydrological years.

The year 2017/2018 is clearly different from the others, which can be also seen in the much more frequent occurrence of the Sava River water levels reaching between 118 m a.s.l. and 121 m a.s.l.



Figure 3. Sava River water level duration curves.



Figure 4. Sava River water level frequency analysis for: (**a**) hydrological year 2016/2017; (**b**) hydrological year 2017/2018; (**c**) hydrological year 2018/2019; (**d**) hydrological year 2019/2020.

In Table 2, basic statistical parameters related to the isotopic composition of the groundwater of the Zagreb aquifer and the Sava River are shown. The results suggest that the groundwater isotopic signature is slightly different with respect to the Sava River. However, the average and median values from the DM bridge are closer to the isotopic signature of the groundwater, while d-excess values are almost identical. This corresponds

to the visual inspection that can be drawn from Figure 5, in which it can be also seen that the Sava River isotopic composition from the DM bridge is more similar to groundwater from the Zagreb aquifer. Furthermore, the results show much more deviation in the isotopic signature at the DM bridge. Together with the results of in-situ measurements and previous research, where it was shown that the connection between Sava River and Zagreb aquifer system is not uniform [6,33,42,43], these results indicate that mixing between surface water and groundwater is more pronounced in the downstream part of the Zagreb aquifer. Greater aquifer depth in the downstream part, as well as the existence of TE-TO Zagreb, generates slower velocities and enables more time for infiltration of the Sava River into the Zagreb aquifer, which is consistent with the results of the previous research [6].

Table 2. Basic statistical values of isotope composition of groundwater and the Sava River in the area of the Zagreb aquifer.

Location	Parameter	δ^2H (‰)	$\delta^{18}O$ (‰)	d-excess (‰)
Groundwater	Average	−62.07	−9.17	11.26
	Median	−62.02	−9.17	11.39
	Minimum	−65.21	−9.89	4.09
	Maximum	−56.15	−7.53	17.44
	Standard deviation	1.16	0.22	1.42
	N (number of sampling campaigns)		266	
Bridge PM	Average	−58.15	−8.82	12.38
	Median	−58.83	−8.93	12.49
	Minimum	−62.58	−9.57	7.86
	Maximum	−52.98	−7.84	13.98
	Standard deviation	2.40	0.38	1.22
	N (number of sampling campaigns)		24	
Bridge DM	Average	−59.37	−8.85	11.46
	Median	−59.88	−8.92	11.65
	Minimum	−70.16	−10.29	4.90
	Maximum	−24.87	−3.87	13.36
	Standard deviation	5.52	0.79	1.29
	N (number of sampling campaigns)		65	

Within this research, preliminary results related to the evaluation of the water isotope composition suggested the existence of outliers and extreme values. In order to quantify these and remove them from further analysis, boxplots were constructed. Groundwater has the greatest data set, and in Figure 6 it can be seen that it also has the most outliers and extreme values. In the next steps, only values of $\delta^{18}O$ were used. The boxplots shown in Figure 6 suggest that only 3 values of $\delta^{18}O$ for the DM bridge should be removed, 13 for groundwater, and none for the PM bridge. It is very interesting to see that all outliers and extreme values for the DM bridge are related to the time period of spring/summer 2018, i.e., part of the hydrological year 2017/2018, which was an extremely wet hydrological year, but also a very warm to extremely warm year, according to the average monthly temperature values as presented by the Croatian Meteorological and Hydrological Service (meteo.hr, accessed on 8 June 2022). The exclusion of these data would make it impossible to perform periodic regression analysis to fit seasonal sine wave curves to annual $\delta^{18}O$ variations for the hydrologic year 2017/2018. In the end, it was decided to use outliers and extreme values which were observed in hydrological year 2017/2018, which were found

to be very useful for the interpretation and showed that the extreme isotopic signature corresponds to the occurrence of an extremely wet and hot hydrological year.



Figure 5. Dual plot of isotope composition of groundwater and the Sava River in the area of the Zagreb aquifer.

Periodic regression analysis was used to fit seasonal sine wave curves to annual $\delta^{18}O$ variations. In Figure 7a,b, amplitudes for the PM bridge are shown. Figure 7a presents an estimation of the amplitude based on all available data, while Figure 7b presents an estimation based on the data from hydrological year 2019/2020. Results show very similar amplitude ranging from 0.38 to 0.41 which are robust and statistically significant ($p = 0.05$) with values of R^2 above 0.5 in both cases. Figure 7c–h presents amplitudes for the DM bridge. In Figure 7c,d, all available data is used for the estimation of amplitude. In Figure 7c, outliers and extreme values are included in the model, while those in 7d are excluded. Although both models are statistically significant, the R^2 values are small and below 0.3. The exclusion of outliers and extreme values generated an almost doubled value of R^2 on the one hand, but also a much lower estimation of amplitude on the other (0.45 vs. 0.26). Figure 7e–h presents amplitudes for four observed hydrological years (e—2016/2017; f—2017/2018; g—2018/2019; h—2019/2020). All models are robust and statistically significant, with R^2 values generally higher than 0.5. The deviation is observed only for the extreme hydrological year 2017/2018 which has several times higher amplitude than all other hydrological years (1.86 with respect to 0.4, 0.22, and 0.24). However, it must be emphasized that this model is not as robust as the others, with p value close to 0.05 and R^2 of 0.4. Slightly higher amplitudes in hydrological year 2016/2017 are probably the consequence of the more frequent occurrence of the Sava River water levels rising above 120 m a.s.l. Periodic regression analysis of groundwater amplitudes of $\delta^{18}O$ (not shown) resulted in very small amplitudes (0.02 without outliers and extremes and 0.07 with outliers and extremes) with statistically insignificant models which have very small R^2 values (0.05 and 0.21, respectively). Despite the fact that more years of data are needed for the evaluation of isotopic signature of precipitation, the results suggest that the most appropriate method for the evaluation of the isotopic signature of the Sava River is based on the hydrological year. It was shown that $\delta^{18}O$ amplitudes can vary greatly due to different hydrological conditions. Furthermore, the results suggest that MRT for hydrological year 2019/2020 was

about 2.5 months (approximately 80 days). However, continuation of isotope monitoring is necessity if estimation of the amplitude and MRT values want to be calculated in different hydrological conditions and with greater reliability.



Figure 6. Boxplots of isotope composition of groundwater and the Sava River (PM and DM bridge) in the area of the Zagreb aquifer: (**a**) δ^2H values; (**b**) δ^{18}O values; (**c**) d-excess values.



Figure 7. Fitted annual regression models to $\delta^{18}O$ for the PM bridge and DM bridge. (**a**) for PM bridge based on the all available data; (**b**) for PM bridge based on the data from hydrological year 2019/2020; (**c**) for DM bridge based on the all available data taking into account outliers and extreme values; (**d**) for DM bridge based on the all available data not taking into account outliers and extreme values; (**e**) for DM bridge based on the data from hydrological year 2016/2017; (**f**) for DM bridge based on the data from hydrological year 2017/2018; (**g**) for DM bridge based on the data from hydrological year 2018/2019; (**h**) for DM bridge based on the data from hydrological year 2019/2020.

5. Conclusions

This research presents new findings related to the isotopic composition of the Sava River at the entrance and exit of the Zagreb aquifer, which is a very important aquifer protected by the Croatian state as a strategic water reserve. Old and new isotopic data, in situ parameters, and detailed hydrological and statistical analyses were used to identify the impact of hydrological conditions on the isotopic signature of the Sava River in the area of the Zagreb aquifer. In detail, considering the observational data, the following results are indicated:

- The isotopic signature together with the observed in situ parameters indicate that mixing with groundwater is more pronounced in the downstream part of the Zagreb aquifer.
- Evaluation of $\delta^{18}O$ amplitudes in the Sava River showed that they vary between 0.22 and 1.86, mainly depending on hydrological conditions. The extreme isotopic signature was the result of the extremely wet and hot hydrological year 2017/2018.
- Although long-term data can generate reliable results in the evaluation of the precipitation isotopic signature, it has been shown that the isotopic signature in the Sava River must be evaluated at the hydrologic year level and that the use of outliers and extreme values should be studied in detail.
- The MRT for the 2019/2020 hydrologic year was estimated to be about 2.5 months.

Finally, it can be concluded that not only does the Sava River influence the isotopic signature of groundwater in the study area, but also that groundwater influences the isotopic signature of the Sava River under certain hydrological conditions.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Z.K. and J.B.; methodology, Z.K.; formal analysis, Z.K. and J.P.; investigation, Z.K., J.B., J.P. and A.S.; data curation, Z.K. and J.P.; writing—original draft preparation, Z.K.; writing—review and editing, J.B., J.P. and A.S.; visualization, Z.K. and J.P.; supervision, J.B. and J.P.; sampling and analysis, Z.K., J.B., J.P. and A.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank the International Atomic Energy Agency for providing technical support within the IAEA TC project CRO7001 (Isotope Investigation of the Groundwater–Surface Water Interaction at the Well Field Kosnica in the Area of the City of Zagreb).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Niinikoski, P.I.; Hendriksson, N.M.; Karhu, J.A. Using stable isotopes to resolve transit times and travel routes of river water: A case study from southern Finland. *Isot. Environ. Health Stud.* **2016**, *52*, 380–392. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. Yang, Q.C.; Mu, H.K.; Wang, H.; Ye, X.Y.; Ma, H.Y.; Martin, J.D. Quantitative evaluation of groundwater recharge and evaporation intensity with stable oxygen and hydrogen isotopes in a semi-arid region, Northwest China. *Hydrol. Processes* **2018**, *32*, 1130–1136. [CrossRef]
3. Cao, T.; Han, D.; Song, X.; Trolle, D. Subsurface hydrological processes and groundwater residence time in a coastal alluvium aquifer: Evidence from environmental tracers ($\delta^{18}O$, $\delta^{2}H$, CFCs, ^{3}H) combined with hydrochemistry. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2020**, *743*, 140684. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Wan, C.; Li, K.; Zhang, H.; Yu, Z.; Yi, P.; Chen, C. Integrating isotope mass balance and water residence time dating: Insights of runoff generation in small permafrost watersheds from stable and radioactive isotopes. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* **2020**, *326*, 241–254. [CrossRef]
5. Ben Ammar, S.; Taupin, J.-D.; Ben Alaya, M.; Zouari, K.; Patri, N.; Khouatmia, M. Using geochemical and isotopic tracers to characterize groundwater dynamics and salinity sources in the Wadi Guenniche coastal plain in northern Tunisia. *J. Arid Environ.* **2020**, *178*, 104150. [CrossRef]

6. Parlov, J.; Kovač, Z.; Nakić, Z.; Barešić, J. Using water stable isotopes for identifying groundwater recharge sources of the unconfined alluvial Zagreb aquifer (Croatia). *Water* **2019**, *11*, 2177. [CrossRef]
7. Mahlangu, S.; Lorentz, S.; Diamond, R.; Dippenaar, M. Surface water-groundwater interaction using tritium and stable water isotopes: A case study of Middelburg, South Africa. *J. Afr. Earth Sci.* **2020**, *171*, 103886. [CrossRef]
8. Ala-aho, P.; Soulsby, C.; Pokrovsky, O.S.; Kirpotin, J.; Serikova, S.; Vorobyev, S.N.; Manasypov, R.M.; Loiko, S.; Tetzlaff, D. Using stable isotopes to assess surface water source dynamics and hydrological connectivity in a high-latitude wetland and permafrost influenced landscape. *J. Hydrol.* **2018**, *556*, 279–293. [CrossRef]
9. Ogrinc, N.; Kocman, D.; Miljević, N.; Vreča, P.; Vrzel, J.; Povinec, P. Distribution of H and O stable isotopes in the surface waters of the Sava River, the major tributary of the Danube River. *J. Hydrol.* **2018**, *565*, 365–373. [CrossRef]
10. Chen, K.; Meng, Y.; Liu, G.; Xia, C.; Zhou, J.; Li, H. Identifying hydrological conditions of the Pihe River catchment in the Chengdu Plain based on spatio-temporal distribution of ^{2}H and ^{18}O. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* **2020**, *324*, 1125–1140. [CrossRef]
11. Xia, C.; Liu, G.; Meng, Y.; Wang, Z.; Zhang, X. Impact of human activities on urban river system and its implication for water environment risks: An isotope-based investigation in Chengdu, China. *Hum. Ecol. Risk Assess.* **2020**, *27*, 1416–1439. [CrossRef]
12. Rosa, E.; Hillaire-Marcel, C.; Hélie, J.F.; Myre, A. Processes governing the stable isotope composition of water in the St. Lawrence river system, Canada. *Isot. Environ. Health Stud.* **2016**, *52*, 370–379. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
13. Wang, S.J.; Zhang, M.J.; Hughes, C.E.; Zhu, X.F.; Dong, L.; Ren, Z.G.; Chen, F.L. Factors controlling stable isotope composition of precipitation in arid conditions: An observation network in the Tianshan Mountains, central Asia. *Tellus B Chem. Phys. Meteorol.* **2016**, *68*, 26206. [CrossRef]
14. Zhang, M.J.; Wang, S.J. A review of precipitation isotope studies in China: Basic pattern and hydrological process. *J. Geogr. Sci.* **2016**, *26*, 921–938. [CrossRef]
15. Zhang, M.J.; Wang, S.J. Precipitation isotopes in the Tianshan Mountains as a key to water cycle in arid central Asia. *Sci. Cold Arid Reg.* **2018**, *10*, 27–37. [CrossRef]
16. Krajcar-Bronić, I.; Barešić, J.; Borković, D.; Sironić, A.; Lovrenčić Mikelić, I.; Vreča, P. Long-Term Isotope Records of Precipitation in Zagreb, Croatia. *Water* **2020**, *12*, 226. [CrossRef]
17. Brkić, Ž.; Kuhta, M.; Hunjak, T.; Larva, O. Regional Isotopic Signatures of Groundwater in Croatia. *Water* **2020**, *12*, 1983. [CrossRef]
18. Volkmann, T.H.M.; Weiler, M. Continual in situ monitoring of pore water stable isotopes in the subsurface. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* **2014**, *18*, 1819–1833. [CrossRef]
19. Gaj, M.; Beyer, M.; Koeniger, P.; Wanke, H.; Hamutoko, J.; Himmelsbach, T. In situ unsaturated zone water stable isotope (^{2}H and ^{18}O) measurements in semi-arid environments: A soil water balance. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* **2016**, *20*, 715–731. [CrossRef]
20. Ma, J.; Song, W.; Wu, J.; Liu, Z.; Wei, Z. Identifying the mean residence time of soil water for different vegetation types in a water source area of the Yuanyang Terrace, southwestern China. *Isot. Environ. Health Stud.* **2019**, *55*, 272–289. [CrossRef]
21. Skrzypek, G.; Mydlowski, A.; Dogramaci, S.; Hedley, P.; Gibson, J.J.; Grierson, P.F. Estimation of evaporative loss based on the stable isotope composition of water using Hydrocalculator. *J. Hydrol.* **2015**, *523*, 781–789. [CrossRef]
22. Wang, S.J.; Zhang, M.J.; Che, Y.J.; Zhu, X.F.; Liu, X.M. Influence of below-cloud evaporation on deuterium excess in precipitation of arid central Asia and its meteorological controls. *J. Hydrometeorol.* **2016**, *17*, 1973–1984. [CrossRef]
23. Wang, D.; Han, G.; Hu, M.; Wang, Y.; Liu, J.; Zeng, J.; Li, X. Evaporation Processes in the Upper River Water of the Three Gorges Reservoir: Evidence from Triple Oxygen Isotopes. *ACS Earth Space Chem.* **2021**, *5*, 2807–2816. [CrossRef]
24. Gleeson, T.; Befus, K.M.; Jasechko, S.; Luijendijk, E.; Cardenas, M.B. The global volume and distribution of modern groundwater. *Nat. Geosci.* **2015**, *9*, 161–167. [CrossRef]
25. Gampe, D.; Nikulin, G.; Ludwig, R. Using an ensemble of regional climate models to assess climate change impacts on water scarcity in European river basins. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2016**, *573*, 1503–1518. [CrossRef]
26. Vrzel, J.; Ludwig, R.; Gampe, D.; Ogrinc, N. Hydrological system behaviour of an alluvial aquifer under climate change. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2019**, *649*, 1179–1188. [CrossRef]
27. Kovač, Z.; Nakić, Z.; Barešić, J.; Parlov, J. Nitrate Origin in the Zagreb Aquifer System. *Geofluids* **2018**, *15*, 2789691. [CrossRef]
28. Kapuralić, J.; Posavec, K.; Kurevija, T.; Macenić, M. Identification of river Sava temperature influence on groundwater temperature of the Zagreb and Samobor-Zaprešić aquifer as a part of shallow geothermal potential. *Rud. Geološko-Naft. Zb.* **2018**, *33*, 59–69. [CrossRef]
29. Barešić, J.; Parlov, J.; Kovač, Z.; Sironić, A. Use of nuclear power plant released tritium as groundwater tracer. *Rud. Geološko-Naft. Zb.* **2020**, *35*, 25–34. [CrossRef]
30. Kovač, Z.; Krevh, V.; Filipović, L.; Defterdarović, J.; Buškulić, B.; Han, L.; Filipović, V. Utilizing stable water isotopes (δ^{2}H and δ^{18}O) to study soil-water origin in sloped vineyard: First results. *Rud. Geološko-Naft. Zb.* **2022**, *37*, 1–14. [CrossRef]
31. Horvatinčić, N.; Barešić, J.; Krajcar Bronić, I.; Obelić, B.; Kármán, K.; Fórizs, I. Study of the bank filtered groundwater system of the Sava River at Zagreb using isotope analyses. *Cent. Eur. Geol.* **2011**, *54*, 121–127. [CrossRef]
32. Parlov, J.; Kovač, Z.; Barešić, J. The study of the interactions between Sava River and Zagreb aquifer system (Croatia) using water stable isotopes. In Proceedings of the 16th International Symposium on Water-Rock Interaction and the 13th International Symposium on Applied Isotope Geochemistry 2019, Tomsk, Russia, 21–26 July 2019.
33. Meaški, H.; Biondić, R.; Loborec, J.; Oskoruš, D. The Possibility of Managed Aquifer Recharge (MAR) for Normal Functioning of the Public Water-Supply of Zagreb, Croatia. *Water* **2021**, *13*, 1562. [CrossRef]

34. Velić, J.; Saftić, B. Subsurface Spreading and Facies Characteristics of Middle Peistocene Deposits between Zaprešić and Samobor. *Geološki Vjesn.* **1991**, *44*, 69–82.
35. Velić, J.; Durn, G. Alternating Lacustrine-Marsh Sedimentation and Subaerial Exposure Phases during Quaternary: Prečko, Zagreb, Croatia. *Geol. Croat.* **1993**, *46*, 71–90. [CrossRef]
36. Ružičić, S.; Mileusnić, M.; Posavec, K. Building Conceptual and Mathematical Model for Water Flow and Solute Transport in the Unsaturated zone at Kosnica Site. *Rud.-Geološko-Naft. Zb.* **2012**, *25*, 21–31.
37. Bogunović, M.; Vidaček, Ž.; Husnjak, S.; Sraka, M.; Petošić, D. Inventory of Soils in Croatia. *Agric. Conspec. Sci.* **1998**, *63*, 105–112.
38. Sollitto, D.; Romić, M.; Castrignano, A.; Romić, D.; Bakić, H. Assessing heavy metal contamination in soils of the Zagreb region (Northwest Croatia) using multivariate geostatistics. *Catena* **2010**, *80*, 182–194. [CrossRef]
39. Posavec, K.; Vukojević, P.; Ratkaj, M.; Bedeniković, T. Cross-correlation modelling of surface water-groundwater interaction using the Excel spreadsheet application. *Rud.-Geološko-Naft. Zb.* **2017**, *32*, 25–32. [CrossRef]
40. Posavec, K. Identification and Prediction of Minimum Ground Water Levels of Zagreb Alluvial Aquifer using Recession Curve Models. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia, 2006. (In Croatian).
41. Nakić, Z.; Posavec, K.; Parlov, J.; Bačani, A. Development of the conceptual model of the Zagreb aquifer system. In *The Geology in Digital Age, Proceedings of the 17th Meeting of the Association of European Geological Societies (MAEGS 17), Belgrade, Serbia, 17–18 September 2011*; The Serbian Geological Society: Belgrade, Serbia, 2011.
42. Nakić, Z.; Ružičić, S.; Posavec, K.; Mileusnić, M.; Parlov, J.; Bačani, A.; Durn, G. Conceptual model for groundwater status and risk assessment—Case study of the Zagreb aquifer system. *Geol. Croat.* **2013**, *66*, 55–76. [CrossRef]
43. Kovač, Z.; Nakić, Z.; Pavlić, K. Influence of groundwater quality indicators on nitrate concentrations in the Zagreb aquifer system. *Geol. Croat.* **2017**, *70*, 93–103. [CrossRef]
44. Marković, T.; Brkić, Ž.; Larva, O. Using hydrochemical data and modelling to enhance the knowledge of groundwater flow and quality in an alluvial aquifer of Zagreb, Croatia. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2013**, *458–460*, 508–516. [CrossRef]
45. Kovač, Z.; Nakić, Z.; Špoljarić, D.; Stanek, D.; Bačani, A. Estimation of nitrate trends in the groundwater of the Zagreb aquifer. *Geosciences* **2018**, *8*, 159. [CrossRef]
46. Huljek, L.; Perković, D.; Kovač, Z. Nitrate contamination risk of the Zagreb aquifer. *J. Maps* **2019**, *15*, 2. [CrossRef]
47. Vujević, M.; Posavec, K. Identification of Groundwater Level Decline in the Zagreb and Samobor-Zapresic Aquifers since the Sixties of the Twentieth Century. *Rud.-Geološko-Naft. Zb.* **2018**, *33*, 55–64. [CrossRef]
48. Rodgers, P.; Soulsby, C.; Waldon, S.; Tetzlaff, D. Using stable isotope tracers to assess hydrological flow paths, residence times and landscape influences in a nested mesoscale catchment. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* **2005**, *9*, 139–155. [CrossRef]
49. Ogrinc, N.; Kanduč, T.; Stichler, W.; Vreča, P. Spatial and seasonal variations in $\delta^{18}O$ and δD values in the River Sava in Slovenia. *J. Hydrol.* **2008**, *359*, 303–312. [CrossRef]
50. Maloszewski, P.; Raupert, W.; Stichler, W.; Herrmann, A. Application for flow models in an alpine catchment area using tritium and deuterium data. *J. Hydrol.* **1983**, *66*, 319–330. [CrossRef]
51. Coplen, T.B.; Wassenaar, L.I. LIMS for Lasers for achieving long-term accuracy and precision of δ^2H, $\delta^{17}O$, and $\delta^{18}O$ of waters using laser absorption spectrometry. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **2015**, *29*, 2122–2130. [CrossRef]